

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patient and Trademark Office Address of AMIL IT AND OF FARINDS AND TRADEMARKS World (198), 1975–2024 I ANA STATES

22798 1990 01 09 2003 QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C. P O BOX 458 ALAMEDA, CA 94501

LORD, VANESSA L

ARTUNI PAPERNUMBER
TELN

DATE MAILED 01 09 2003



Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/412 297 TING KANG Advisory Action Examiner Art Unit Vanessa L. Ford 1645 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance, (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)( a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection b) 🗌 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event however will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the marling date of the final rejection ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 206.07(6) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1 136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 136(a) and the appropriate extension tee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension tee under 37 CFR 1 17(a) is calculated from. (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maining date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CER 1 704/b). 1 🖸 A Notice of Appeal was filed on 24 <u>December 2002</u> Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1,192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1,191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) 🗀 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10 Other: See Continuation Sheet

9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner

LYNETTÉ R.P. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600 Continuation of 10. Other: A Notice of Appeal has been filed. Appellant's biref must be timely filed. Claims 1 and 8-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b). Claim 2 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).